Rec'd PCT/PTO 05 OCT 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

10/552605 REC'D 2 6 AUG 2004 PCT WIPO PCT

rom the						
ITERNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTHO	RITY		DOT	-WIPO	Р
To:				PUI		
	DOT40 A DO0		WRITT	EN OPINIC	N OF THE	IODITY
see form	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION			ווחטו
			(P	CT Rule 43	ibis.1)	
			Date of mailing			
			(day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/21	10 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's fil			FOR FURTHER A			
see form PCT/ISA/	220		See paragraph 2 belov			
International application		International filing date ('day/month/year)	Priority date (d. 07.04.2003	ay/month/year)	
PCT/B2004/001029 05.04.2004						
International Patent Cla	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
B23Q11/10, B05B9	3/00 					
Applicant			•			
AUGES S.R.L.	·					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	llowing itoms:			
1. This opinion	contains indicat	ions relating to the fol	nowing items.			
☑ Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinlon				
Box No. II	Priority		11. 1	stem and ind	uctrial applicabi	lity
☐ Box No. III		ment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inventi-	ve step and inc	usulai applicasii	y
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention	to a to 3 till contain an amount to	novelty invent	tive eten or indu	strial
☑ Box No. V	applicability;	atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation	ns supporting such sta	tement	nve step of frida	J
☐ Box No. V	Certain docui	ments cited				

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

□ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
 □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Müller, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7666



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001029

	Box		
1.	the I	angi	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang (und	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following juage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	reç essa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and by to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe o	of material:
	0]	a sequence listing
	0	כ כ	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	orma	at of material:
	(]	in written format
	ſ		in computer readable form
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:
	(contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	s. 🗆	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4	. Ad	ditio	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001029

The following coperations of the consequent	nslation of the ea ntly it has not bee ss been establish on has been esta	pplication rlier applic en possible ned on the blished as	whose prication who e to conside assumption of the prior and 64.1	iority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)). Dose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)) Dose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)) Dose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)) Dose priority has been claimed to the claimed priority date. The priority claimed to the fact that the priority claim (Priority Claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
☑ cop ☐ trai Consequel neverthele	oy of the earlier and a slation of the earlity it has not been ssablished in has been established in has been established invalid (Ru)	pplication rlier applic en possible ned on the blished as	whose prication who e to conside assumption of the prior and 64.1	iority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)). see priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)) der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
☐ trai	nslation of the ea ntly it has not bee ss been establish on has been esta	rlier applicent possible the document on the blished as the blished as the state of the blished as the state of the state	e to conside assumption of the consideration of the	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
☐ trai	nslation of the ea ntly it has not bee ss been establish on has been esta	rlier applicent possible the document on the blished as the blished as the state of the blished as the state of the state	e to conside assumption of the consideration of the	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
Consequer neverthele This opinio	ntly it has not been ss been establish on has been establish	en possible ned on the blished as	e to consider assumption of the construction o	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
This opinio	n has been esta	blished as	if no prior	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority cla L). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the internationa
tional obse	ervations, if nece	ssary:		
No. V F	Reasoned stater	nent unde	er Rule 43 xplanatio	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement
	nous my, comme		_• <u>-</u>	
ement				
elty (N)				1-16
		No:	Claims	•
entive step	(IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-16
	()	√No:	Claims	•
etrial anni	icability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-16
ioniai appi	loadinty (ii i)	No:	Claims	
•				
-	No. V Fustrial apprement elty (N)	No. V Reasoned stater strial applicability; citation	ement elty (N) Yes: No: entive step (IS) Yes: No: ustrial applicability (IA) Yes:	No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 strial applicability; citations and explanation ement elty (N) Yes: Claims No: Claims entive step (IS) Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims

Citations and explanations see separate sheet

PCT/IB2004/001029

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP 1 072 356 A (BIELOMATIK LEUZE &; CO) 31 January 2001 (2001-01-31)

D2: DE 199 15 265 A (DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29)

D3: US 2002/096579 A1 (SINDERS STEVEN) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25)

D4: US 4 258 885 A (LEGEZA THOMAS B) 31 March 1981 (1981-03-31)

2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a device according to the preamble of claim 1 and a method according to the preamble of claim 9.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the delivering means include one or more nozzles of the airless type to atomize the fluid.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 9 differs in that delivering of said fluid is carried out by its atomization through one or more airless type nozzles.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claims 1 and 9 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to control the dimensions of the particles of the fluid and thereby to generate an effective flow of lubricant and cooling fluid between the tool and the workpiece.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claims 1 and 9 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT), because the use of nozzles of the airless type to atomize the fluid is in particular known on the field of paint spray nozzles (see D3 and D4). In the field of machine tools in particular air type nozzles are used (see D1 and D2). Transferring from the use of paint spraying into the use of lubricating and cooling does not seem to be obvious for the skilled man.
- 2.3 Claims 2-8 and 10-16 are dependent on claims 1 and 9 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.